

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**  
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

### 4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

18

### 5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

### 6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

### 7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

### 8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

### 9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

The contention that, "land (in Wharfedale) is available in the green belt in sustainable locations which would also not prejudice the strategic function of the green belt," has not been justified. It is a bland assertion with no evidence to support it.

**10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.**

**You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

It will be necessary to prove during the preparation of the Allocations DPD that in relation to both individual sites and, importantly, the cumulative impact on Wharfedale of the land required for housing, employment and the necessary community facilities that the selected locations are sustainable and that the strategic function of the green belt is not prejudiced by their development. In order to provide the necessary evidence a full strategic review of the Green Belt will be required to assess whether development of particular sites could take place without prejudice to the strategic function of the Green Belt. No allocation of sites should occur before such a review is completed. Without such a Strategic Review the modification and the Core Strategy as a whole is unsound.

**11. Signature:**

**Date:**

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.**